was diluted to 50 mL with ethyl acetate, was washed successively with water, dilute sodium hydroxide, and brine, dried, and concentrated. Chromatography over 50 g of silica gel, with ethyl acetate as eluant, and recrystallization of the product from ethyl acetate-hexane afforded $0.40 \mathrm{~g}(56 \%)$ of $48, \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{117-119}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right): \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

PAF-Binding Assay. ${ }^{10,11}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ PAF was obtained from the New England Nuclear Company. Platelet-rich plasma was prepared by centrifugation of citrate-treated dog blood. Acidification to pH 6.5 with 0.15 M citric acid and centrifugation for 10 minutes at 1000 g yielded a platelet-rich pellet which was then washed by resuspension in phosphate-buffered saline, pH 7.3 (PBS) containing 1 mM EDTA, and recentrifugation. The washed platelet preparation was adjusted to $2 \times 10^{7}$ platelets $/ 0.05 \mathrm{~mL}$ in $0.1 \%$ BSA-PBS. Platelet counting was done using a Royco Cell-Crit 921.

To a $0.40-\mathrm{mL}$ Microfuge tube containing 0.05 mL of silicone oil was added buffer and a PAF standard or a test drug to bring the aqueous volume to 0.15 mL . A solution ( 0.05 mL ) of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ PAF ( $10000 \mathrm{cpm}, 45 \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mM}$ ) in ethanol was added followed by $2 \times$ $10^{7}$ dog platelets. After mixing, incubation for 10 min at room temperature, and centrifugation for 1 min in a Beckman Microfuge B ( 8000 g ), the pellet was removed by clipping off the tip of the tube and the platelets were washed out of the tip with 0.20 mL of $50 \%$ methanol. For counting, 10 mL of Aquasol was added and the radioactivity in the samples was determined with a Searle Mark III liquid-scintillation counter linked to an Iso-Data mi croprocessor.

Experiments were run in triplicate, compounds were initially evaluated at a concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and percent specific inhibition was determined. Those drugs which significantly inhibited specific PAF binding were reevaluated at three or more logarithmically spaced concentrations and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were determined by linear regression of log plots of concentration vs specific inhibition. The correlation coefficient for the regression
line of each antagonist was always greater than 0.95 .
In Vivo PAF-Induced-Bronchoconstriction Assay. Male guinea pigs (Hartley strain, Charles River) weighing 400-600 g were anesthetized with urethane ( $2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) given intraperitoneally and a polyethylene cannula was inserted into the jugular vein for intravenous drug administration. Tracheal pressure (centimeters of water) was recorded from a Statham pressure transducer ( P 32 AA ). Propanolol was administered 5 min before PAF challenge. Two minutes later, spontaneous breathing was arrested with succinylcholine chloride ( $1.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) administered intravenously, and the animals were ventilated with a Harvard Model 680 small-animal respirator set at 40 breaths $/ \mathrm{min}$ and a $4.0-\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ stroke volume.

For intravenous drug dosing, test drug or vehicle were administered through the cannula into the jugular vein 1 min before the animals were challenged with a maximum constrictory dose of PAF ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) given intravenously. The change in tracheal pressure was averaged for four control and four drug-treated animals and the percent inhibition was calculated. For oral drug dosing, animals were dosed with the test compound or vehicle at the appropriate interval prior to intravenous challenge with PAF as noted above. $\mathrm{ID}_{50}$ values for active compounds were determined by linear regression of $\log$ dose-response curves generated by at least three doses that caused statistically significant inhibition of the PAF-induced bronchoconstriction of between 10 and $90 \%$. The correlation coefficient for the regression line of each antagonist was always greater than 0.95 .
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#### Abstract

A series of $N$-[4-(3-pyridinyl)butyl]-5,5-disubstituted-pentadienamides was prepared and evaluated for PAF-antagonist activity. Compounds were assayed in vitro in a PAF-binding assay employing washed, whole dog platelets as the receptor source and in vivo after intravenous or oral administration for their ability to prevent PAF-induced bronchoconstriction in guinea pigs. Criteria required for good oral activity in the latter model include an ( $E$, $E)$-5-phenyl-2,4-pentadienamide, a second phenyl or a four- or five-carbon alkyl moiety in the 5 -position of the diene, and an ( $R$ )-[1-alkyl-4-(3-pyridinyl)butyl] substituent on the carboxamide nitrogen atom. The alkyl substituent on this side chain can be methyl, ethyl, or cyclopropyl. Two members of this series, $[R-(E)]-5,5$-bis $(4$-methoxy-phenyl)- $N$-[1-methyl-4-(3-pyridinyl)butyl]-2,4-pentadienamide (31) and [ $R$-( $E, E$ )]-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)- $N$-[1-methyl-4-(3-pyridinyl)butyl]-2,4-decadienamide (58), were selected for further pharmacological evaluation. Both were found to be substantially longer acting after oral administration than the corresponding $S$ enantiomers in the guinea pig bronchoconstriction assay. A second in vivo model used to evaluate PAF antagonists determines the ability of test compounds to decrease the area of skin wheals induced by an intradermal injection of PAF. In this model, using both rats and guinea pigs, compounds 31 and 58 were found to be as active as the reference PAF antagonist 3 - 4 -(2-chlorophenyl)-9-methyl-6 -thieno $[3,2-f][1,2,4]$ triazolo $[4,3-a][1,4]$ diazepin- 2 -yl]-1-(4-morpholinyl)-1-propanone (45).


We have recently described the preparation and evaluation of two series of novel platelet-activating-factor (PAF) antagonists typified by the pyridoquinazolinecarboxamide $1^{1}$ and the biphenylcarboxamide $2 .{ }^{2}$ Key elements of these

[^0]compounds were shown to be the aromatic ring marked "a", the carboxamide moiety, and the 3 -substituted pyri-
(1) Tilley, J. W.; Burghardt, B.; Burghardt, C.; Mowles, T. F.; Lienweber, F.-J.; Klevans, L.; Young, R.; Hirkaler, G.; Fahrenholtz, K.; Zawoiski, S.; Todaro, L. J. J. Med. Chem. 1988, 31, 466-472.
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dine ring separated by the appropriate distances. In our search for new lead compounds, we have relied on a PAF-binding assay employing whole, washed dog platelets. ${ }^{3}$ From this effort, the (diphenylethenyl)piperidine 3 was also identified as a relatively potent inhibitor of PAF binding ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 100 \mathrm{nM}$ ) although it was devoid of activity in our in vivo tests used to profile PAF antagonists. Molecular modeling experiments indicated that low-energy conformations of 1,2 , and 3 exist in which the aromatic ring of 3 marked " $a$ " is superimposed with the corresponding rings of 1 and 2 and the piperidine nitrogen is superimposed with the carboxamide nitrogen atoms of 1 and 2 , while the pyridine rings with their side chains are free to adopt the same conformation in all three molecules. When fit in this manner, a correspondence between the second aromatic ring of 3 , marked " b ", and the pyridoquinazoline carbonyl group of 1 is also seen.

We thus hypothesized that all three molecules interact with the PAF receptor in a similar manner and were encouraged to consider ring-opened derivatives of 3, such as 4, as an approach to PAF antagonists with improved in vivo activity. In this paper, we describe the structureactivity studies carried out on compounds of the general structure 4 and detail the process which led to the selection of the orally active, long-acting PAF antagonist 58 for in-depth pharmacological study.

## Chemistry

The diphenylalkenamides and the dienamides listed in Tables I-IV were obtained by acylation of the appropriate amines with various diphenylalkenoic acids or dienoic acids through the intermediacy of a mixed anhydride (method A), an acid chloride (method B), or a 4-nitrophenyl ester (method C). The physical properties of most of these amides are summarized in Table V; the remainder are described individually in the Experimental Section. Methods A and C were useful in the coupling of the het-
(2) Tilley, J. W.; Clader, J. W.; Zawoiski, S.; Wirkus, M.; LeMahieu, R. A.; O'Donnell, M.; Crowley, H.; Welton, A. F. J. Med. Chem., preceding paper in this issue.
(3) Janero, D. R.; Burghardt, B.; Burghardt, C. Thrombosis Res. 1988, 50, 789. Janero, D. R.; Burghardt, B.; Burghardt, C. J. Pharmacol. Methods 1988, 20, 237.

Table I. PAF-Antagonist Activity of N -[4-(3-Pyridinyl)butyl]alkenamides


| no. | X | inhibn of PAF binding: $\mathrm{IC}_{50},{ }^{a} \mathrm{nM}$ | guinea pig bronchoconstriction assay |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \% \mathrm{inhibn}, \\ 0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \text {, iv } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{ID}_{500}{ }^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}} \\ \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, \text { iv } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { inhibn, }{ }^{c} \\ 50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, \text { po } \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 | bond | 450 | $66 \pm 10$ | 0.58 | $28 \pm 4$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | inact ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |
| 7 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | 100 | $21 \pm 2$ |  |  |
| 8 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}$ | inact ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |
| 9 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ | 550 | $15 \pm 6$ |  |  |
| 10 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{5}$ | inact ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |
| 11 | 万 | 400 | $-1 \pm 2$ |  |  |
| 12 | 分 | 55 | $60 \pm 8$ | 0.62 | $35 \pm 2$ |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{ID}_{50}$ vaues were determined by linear-regression analysis; the correlation coefficient for each regression line was $>0.95$. ${ }^{b}$ One-minute pretreatment time. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Two-hour pretreatment time. ${ }^{d}$ Inact $=$ no significant inhibition at 1000 nM .
eroaromatic alkylamines described herein, although hindered alkylamines, such as the tertiary alkylamine 108 , required prolonged reaction times or elevated reaction temperatures when coupled via the active-ester method. Of the three methods, only the acid chloride procedure could be used successfully to acylate the anilines leading to 50 and 51 .
The shorter chain diphenylalkenoic acids were prepared by literature procedures ${ }^{4-6}$ and the remaining alkenoic acids 66-68 were available through a Wittig reaction in-

volving condensation of benzophenone with the ylide derived from the action of dimsyl sodium on the appropriate ( $\omega$-carboxyalkyl)triphenylphosphonium bromide. A Wittig reaction between (carbethoxymethylene)triphenylphosphorane and the aldehyde 69 (method D) gave an isomeric mixture of esters which was separated by HPLC and hydrolyzed with sodium hydroxide to give the ( $E$ )- and $(Z)$-diphenylpentadienoic acids 70 and 71.

The intermediate dienoic acids listed in Table VII were prepared from the starting carbonyl compounds in a two-stage synthetic approach that allowed sequential introduction of each double bond in the diene system. Since the dienoic acids can exist in as many as four isomeric forms, methods and reaction conditions were chosen that allowed some measure of stereochemical control around the newly formed double bonds. As shown in Scheme I, the initial stage, homologation of the ketones 72 into the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehydes 73, was generally accomplished via a directed aldol condensation through reaction of the substrate with a lithioenaminophosphonate as described
(4) Jorgenson, M.; Thatcher, A. Org. Synth. 1968, 48, 75.
(5) Borche, W. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1936, 526, 18.
(6) Puterbaugh, W. J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 4010.
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by Meyers ${ }^{7}$ (method E). With the exception of $p$-methoxybenzaldehyde, which gave the corresponding ( $E$ ). cinnamaldehyde $\mathbf{7 3 0}$ as the sole isolable product, unsymmetrical carbonyl compounds were transformed by this procedure into an isomeric mixture of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehydes with an $E / Z$ ratio ranging between $3: 2$ and $2: 3$. In some of the cases, the individual isomers were isolated by HPLC, but in those instances in which the isomers could not be readily separated, the mixtures were carried on through the next synthetic step and the products then were separated as the corresponding dienoic acid esters. Structural assignment of the $E$ and $Z$ isomers was based on their proton NMR spectra through correlation of the observed chemical shifts for the aldehydic and vinylic protons with the values reported for those of closely related compounds. ${ }^{8}$ Characteristically, in the 3 -(4-methoxy-phenyl)- 2 -alkenals, the aldehydic proton of the $E$ isomers appeared at significantly lower field ( $\delta 10.1-10.15$ ) than the corresponding proton in the ( $Z$ )-alkenals ( $\delta 9.45-9.48$ ). This relative downfield shift was also noted in the signals of the vinylic proton ( $\delta 6.25-6.39 \mathrm{vs} 6.05-6.08$ ) and of the ortho aromatic protons ( $\delta .46-7.52$ vs $\delta 7.17-7.22$ ). Indirect confirmation of the above structure assignments for the isomeric aldehydes was obtained by single-crystal X-ray crystallographic analysis of ( $E, E$ )-decadienoic acid 75 u which had been prepared from the $(E)$-octenal 73 u .

Although most aldehydes listed in Table VI were attainable through this procedure, the reaction failed when attempted on the hindered substrate $2,2^{\prime}$-dimethoxybenzophenone (79). However, condensation of 79 with lithioacetonitrile produced the carbinol 80, which after dehydration and reduction of the newly formed $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated nitrile with diisobutylaluminum hydride furnished the desired aldehyde 73f in good yield (Scheme II). This alternate pathway assumed additional importance when it became apparent that larger amounts of $(E)-3$ ( 4 -methoxyphenyl)-2-octenal ( $73 \mathbf{u}$ ) would be needed in its role as an intermediate in the preparation of the development candidate 58. It was found that the carbinol 82 obtained in $96 \%$ yield from the unsymmetrical ketone 81, could be dehydrated with trifluoroacetic acid in dichloromethane to produce the unsaturated nitrile 83 predominantly as its $E$ isomer ( $E / Z$ ratio $>12: 1$ ). Since this high stereoisomeric ratio was preserved through the reduction step, HPLC purification of octenal 73u was avoided and the crude material could be used directly in the synthesis of $(E, E)$-decadienoic acid 75 u .
As noted above, the pentadienoic acids 75 were readily available through reaction of the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehydes 73 with the stabilized ylides, (carbomethoxy-
(7) Meyers, A.; Tamioka, K.; Fleming, M. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 3789.
(8) Quinkert, G.; Hintzmann, M.; Michaelis, P.; Jürges, P.; Appelt, H.; Kruger, U. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1971, 748, 38.

Scheme $I^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (a) (EtO) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{POCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}-t \mathrm{Bu}, \mathrm{LDA}$; (b) oxalic acid; (c) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$; (d) separate isomers; (e) NaOH ; (f) DCC, 4-nitrophenol; (g) $\mathrm{RNH}_{2}$.

${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (a) LDA, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, THF; (b) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$; (c) DIBAL-H, $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, toluene; (d) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$; (e) $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

Scheme III ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (a) $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$; (b) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{C})$, EtOH ; (c) DMSO, oxalic acid, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (d) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{4}$, $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (e) ( $R$ )-mandelic acid, DCC, HOBT; (f) separate diastereomers; (g) 6 N HCl , reflux.
methylene)- or (carbethoxymethylene)triphenylphosphorane. While use of a polar reaction medium such as methanol or ethanol in the Wittig reaction resulted in mixtures of isomers with an $E / Z$ ratio as high as 3:2,

Table II. PAF-Antagonist Activity of (E)- N -[4-(3-Pyridinyl)butyl]-5,5-diphenylpentadienamides


| no. | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | inhibn <br> of PAF <br> binding: $\mathrm{IC}_{50},{ }^{a} \mathrm{nM}$ | guinea pig bronchoconstriction assay |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \% inhibn, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{ID}_{50}{ }^{\text {a,b }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \% \text { in } \\ 50 \mathrm{mg} \end{array}$ | hibn, kg, po | $\mathrm{ID}_{50}{ }^{\text {a,c }}$, |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | iv, $1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, iv | 2 h | 6 h | po |
| 13 | 3-F | 3-F | H | H | 20 | $71 \pm 17^{\text {b }}$ | 0.34 | $37 \pm 24$ |  | 50 |
| 14 | 4-F | 4-F | H | H | 40 | $91 \pm 0$ | 0.38 | $89 \pm 9$ |  | 28 |
| 15 | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | H | H | 25 | $76 \pm 14$ | 0.47 | $23 \pm 7$ |  |  |
| 16 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | H | H | 60 | $95 \pm 2^{\text {b }}$ | 0.18 | $54 \pm 11$ |  | 50 |
| 17 | $3-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $3-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | H | H | 115 | $78 \pm 6^{\text {b }}$ | 0.26 |  |  |  |
| 18 | $2-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $2-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | H | 40 | $40 \pm 2$ |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | $3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | H | 2 | $69 \pm 9^{\text {b }}$ | 0.36 | $31 \pm 11$ |  | 80 |
| 20 | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | H | 25 | $92 \pm 3$ | 0.25 | $82 \pm 12$ | $71 \pm 8$ | 12 |
| 21 | $3,4-\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $3,4-\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | H | H | 55 | $78 \pm 6$ | 0.54 | $71 \pm 12$ | $17 \pm 11$ | 27 |
| 22 | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | H | 60 | $95 \pm 1$ | 0.26 | $67 \pm 15$ | $11 \pm 6$ | 43 |
| 23 | 3-F | $3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | H | 40 | $90 \pm 5$ | 0.25 | $1 \pm 8$ |  |  |
| 24 | $3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 3-F | H | H | 25 | $88 \pm 4$ | 0.46 | $25 \pm 14$ |  |  |
| 25 | 3-F | 3-F | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | 170 | $73 \pm 6$ | 0.22 | $91 \pm 3$ | $87 \pm 9$ | 37 |
| 26 | 3-F | 3-F | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 250 | $34 \pm 7^{\text {d }}$ |  | $46 \pm 15$ |  |  |
| 27 | 4-F | 4-F | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | 250 | $70 \pm 9^{d}$ | 0.31 | $53 \pm 22$ |  |  |
| 28 | 4-F | 4-F | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 275 | $21 \pm 10$ |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | $3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | 35 | $88 \pm 3$ | 0.21 | $86 \pm 11$ | $12 \pm 7$ | 29 |
| 30 | $3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 300 | $36 \pm 4$ |  | $10 \pm 8$ |  |  |
| 31 | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | 65 | $98 \pm 0$ | 0.25 | $98 \pm 1$ | $93 \pm 4$ | 4 |
| 32 | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 200 | $59 \pm 12$ | 0.84 | $90 \pm 2$ | $56 \pm 18$ | 29 |
| 33 | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 85 | $97 \pm 0$ | 0.27 | $54 \pm 9$ |  | 55 |
| 34 | H | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | 2 | $89 \pm 4$ | 0.16 | $95 \pm 1$ | $53 \pm 10$ |  |
| 35 | 4- $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | 4 | $74 \pm 11$ | 0.50 | $0 \pm 2$ |  |  |
| 36 | 3,4-( $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 3,4-( $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | 50 | $99 \pm 1$ | 0.14 | $67 \pm 19$ | $2 \pm 8$ | 26 |
| 37 | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | 250 | $97 \pm 2$ | 0.30 | $91 \pm 7$ | $14 \pm 16^{f}$ | 18 |
| 38 | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | H | 120 | $99 \pm 4$ | 0.16 | $99 \pm 1$ | $85 \pm 12^{f}$ | 6 |
| 39 | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 400 | $44 \pm 8$ |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{\text {e }}$ | H | $>1000$ | $24 \pm 13$ |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{\text {e }}$ | H | 800 | $87 \pm 7$ | 0.43 | $57 \pm 19$ |  | 26 |
| 42 | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | D-* | H | 60 | $98 \pm 1$ | 0.20 | $99 \pm 0.3$ | $82 \pm 12$ | 6 |
| 43 | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{e}$ | H | $>1000$ | $42 \pm 7$ |  |  |  |  |
| 44 | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ |  | H | $>1000$ | $19 \pm 14$ |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | WEB 2086 |  |  |  | 200 | $99 \pm 1$ | 0.03 | $100 \pm 0$ | $100 \pm 0$ | 1 |

[^1]formation of the $Z$-isomer could be minimized ( $<7 \%$ ) through the use of an aprotic reaction solvent, e.g., dichloromethane or benzene. The desired 2-( $E$ )-isomers were separated by HPLC at this stage and subjected to base hydrolysis to afford the acids 75 . The acids thus prepared are listed in Table VII. In preparation for their conversion to the target carboxamides via method C, the ( $E$ )- and $(Z)$-pentadienoic acids were condensed with $p$-nitrophenol in the presence of dicyclohexylcarbodiimide to yield the highly reactive $p$-nitrophenyl esters 77 and 78 respectively listed in Table VIII (method F).

The new ( $\pm$ )- $\alpha$-substituted-3-pyridinebutanamines were available by two general methods. The first sequence, shown in Scheme III, makes use of strategies that had been developed for the synthesis of closely related hetero-
aromatic alkylamines. ${ }^{9}$ Palladium-catalyzed coupling of the hexynol 85 with 3 -bromopyridine (84) afforded the alkynol 86, which was subjected to sequential hydrogenation and Swern oxidation to give the ketone 87 . Reductive amination of the ketone with sodium cyanoborohydride then furnished the racemic amine 88. Resolution was achieved through fractional crystallization of the diastereoisomeric ( $R$ )-mandelamides. When the racemic amine was coupled with $(R)$-mandelic acid in dimethylformamide in the presence of 1-hydroxybenzotriazole and dicyclohexylcarbodiimide, the diastereoisomerically pure ( $R^{*}, R$ )-mandelamide crystallized directly from the reaction

[^2] Tobias, L.; O'Donnell, M. J. Med. Chem. 1987, 30, 185.

Table III. PAF-Antagonist Activity of ( $E$ )- $N$-Substituted- 5,5 -bis(4-methoxyphenyl)pentadienamides

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R | inhibn <br> of PAF <br> binding: $\mathrm{IC}_{50},{ }^{a} \mathrm{nM}$ | guinea pig bronchoconstriction assay |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | \% inhibn, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{ID}_{50}{ }^{\text {a.b }}$ | \% inhibn, $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, po |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{ID}_{50}{ }^{a, c} \\ \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, \mathrm{po} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| no. |  |  | iv, $1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, iv | 2 h | 6 h |  |
| 46 |  | 30 | $47 \pm 10$ | 1.1 |  |  |  |
| 47 |  | 700 | $5 \pm 6$ |  |  |  |  |
| 48 |  | 250 | $48 \pm 7$ |  |  |  |  |
| 49 |  | 300 | $43 \pm 7$ |  |  |  |  |
| 50 |  | 10 | $97 \pm 1$ | 0.15 | $82 \pm 12$ | $77 \pm 16$ | 29 |
| 51 |  | 250 | $3 \pm 7$ |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{ID}_{50}$ values were determined by linear-regression analysis; the correlation coefficient for each regression line was $>0.95$. ${ }^{b}$ One-minute pretreatment time. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Two-hour pretreatment time.

Table IV. PAF-Antagonist Activity of (E)-N-[4-(3-Pyridinyl)butyl]-5,5-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)pentadienamides


| no. | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | inhibition of PAF binding, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}, \mathrm{nM}^{a}$ | guinea pig bronchoconstriction assay |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% inhibn, }{ }^{b} \\ \text { iv, } 1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{ID}_{50}{ }^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}} \\ \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { inhibn, } 50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, \\ \text { po } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{ID}_{500}{ }^{\text {a,c }} \\ \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, \mathrm{po}^{a, c} \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 h | 6 h |  |
| 52 | H | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 30 | $-17 \pm 13$ |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 4- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 25 | $42 \pm 16$ |  |  |  |  |
| 54 | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 30 | $72 \pm 13$ | 0.68 | $84 \pm 2$ | $9 \pm 11$ | 35 |
| 55 | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 50 | $86 \pm 7$ | 0.52 | $9 \pm 13$ |  |  |
| 56 | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 40 | $89 \pm 3$ | 0.41 | $71 \pm 14$ | $20 \pm 5$ | 30 |
| 57 | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 14 | $99 \pm 1$ | 0.68 | $98 \pm 1$ | $69 \pm 15$ | 12 |
| 58 | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 40 | $99 \pm 1$ | 0.05 | $100 \pm 0.5$ | $71 \pm 4$ | 16 |
| 59 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{5}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 9 | $100 \pm 1$ | 0.07 | $96 \pm 1$ | $14 \pm 10$ | 8 |
| 60 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{7}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 5 | $98 \pm 1$ | 0.10 | $84 \pm 8$ | $27 \pm 10$ | 13 |
| 61 | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | 100 | $78 \pm 7$ | 0.64 | $4 \pm 4$ |  |  |
| 62 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | ${ }^{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 20 | $73 \pm 17$ | 0.21 | $97 \pm 1$ | $12 \pm 10$ | 10 |
| 63 64 | 4- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | 10 20 | $57 \pm 1$ $18 \pm 12$ | 0.78 | $13 \pm 7$ |  |  |
|  | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{ID}_{50}$ values were determined by linear regression analysis; the correlation coefficient for each regression line was $>0.95$. ${ }^{b}$ One-minute pretreatment time. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Two-hour pretreatment time.
mixture along with the byproduct dicyclohexylurea. The more soluble $R^{*}, S$ diastereomer was isolated from the mother liquor and purified by crystallization from 2 propanol. Acid hydrolysis of the optically pure mandelamides provided the resolved ( $R$ )- and ( $S$ )- $\alpha$-ethyl amines 89 and 90, respectively. Stereochemical assignment was based on analogy with the corresponding $\alpha$-methyl amines. ${ }^{1}$

For the preparation of higher homologues, the appropriate carboxylic acid 91 was treated with 2 equiv of lith-
ium diisopropylamide and the resulting dianion was alkylated with 3-(3-bromopropyl)pyridine ${ }^{10}$ to furnish the $\alpha$-substituted 3-pyridinepentanoic acids 93 in 61-85\% yield. Conversion of the acids to the amines 95-99 was achieved in $70-80 \%$ yield via a Curtius reaction involving reaction of the acid with diphenyl phosphorazidate in
(10) Hawes, E.; Davis, H. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1973, 10, 39.

## Scheme IV ${ }^{\text {a }}$




${ }_{95} \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$
96, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$
$97, R=-\downarrow$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (a) 2 equiv of LDA, THF; (b) diphenylphosphoryl azide, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{tBuOH}$; (c) 1 N HCl .

Scheme $\mathbf{V}^{a}$


Series a: $R_{1}=H_{1} \mathbf{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
Series b: $R_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (a) phenyltriflimide, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (b) $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]_{2}-$ $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}$; (c) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}$; (d) DMSO, oxalic acid, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (e) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{4}, \mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}$.
tert-butanol and subsequent mild acid hydrolysis of the intermediate carbamate ${ }^{11}$ as shown in Scheme IV.

Pyridinebutanamines 104 bearing a methyl group in the 2 - or 6-positions were available from the pyridinols 100 (Scheme V). Treatment with phenyltriflimide gave the corresponding triflic esters 101, which underwent a pal-ladium-catalyzed coupling reaction with pentyn-4-ol followed by catalytic hydrogenation to yield the alkynes $102 .{ }^{12}$ The subsequent steps of Swern oxidation and reductive amination were identical with those employed for the synthesis of 88.

The $\alpha, \alpha$-dimethyl-3-pyridinebutanamine (108) was prepared as shown in Scheme VI. A Wittig reaction was used to transform 5-(3-pyridinyl)-2-pentanone ${ }^{1}$ (105) into the methylene derivative 106. Treatment with acetonitrile and sulfuric acid under Ritter conditions smoothly afforded the acetamide 107; however, the hindered amide was stable to the usual acid or base catalyzed hydrolysis conditions, and more rigorous conditions resulted in extensive degradation of the product. When the Ritter reaction was repeated with 2-nitrophenylacetonitrile as the nitrile component, the ( 2 -nitrophenyl)acetamide 110 was formed in $74 \%$ yield. Removal of the benzoyl group was readily achieved by hydrogenation over palladium on carbon in acetic acid ${ }^{13}$ to give the aniline 111, which underwent a subsequent intramolecular cyclization to form oxindole and simultaneously to free the amine 108 in $78 \%$ yield.

Condensation of 3-hydroxypyridine 112 and N -(3hydroxypropyl)phthalimide 113 under Mitsunobu condi-

[^3]
## Scheme VI ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (a) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$; (b) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$; (c) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$; (d) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{HOAc}$; (e) HOAc, $\Delta$.

## Scheme VII ${ }^{a}$



[^4]tions ${ }^{14}$ followed by cleavage of the phthalimide provided the aminopropyl ether 115 (Scheme VII).

## Results and Discussion

In order to determine whether compounds of structure 4 might be useful as PAF antagonists, the derivatives listed in Table I were evaluated in a PAF-binding assay employing washed dog platelets as previously described. ${ }^{1,3}$ The compounds which had binding $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $\leq 500 \mathrm{nM}$ were further evaluated in guinea pigs for their ability to prevent PAF-induced bronchoconstriction. In this model, groups of five guinea pigs were administered $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of the drug substance intravenously 1 min prior to iv challenge with a maximally constrictory dose of PAF ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and the ability of the drug ( $n=4$ ) to inhibit the ensuing bronchoconstriction relative to control animals ( $n=4$ ) was determined. Compounds which caused a $\geq 50 \%$ inhibition of the response were further evaluated at lower doses to determine an intravenous $\mathrm{ID}_{50}$ and were tested orally at a trial dose of $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ prior to PAF challenge. It is our practice to further profile compounds which caused $a \geq 50 \%$ inhibition of the response in the initial oral screen

[^5]Table V. Data for Dienamides


Table V (Continued)

| no. | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | method | \% yield | mp, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | solvent | formula | anal. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 |  |  |  | C | 82 | 144-145 | EtOAc-hex. | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ |
| 34 |  |  |  | C | 94 | amorphous solid ${ }^{a}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 35 |  | $=$ |  | C | 60 | 129.5-131 | EtOAc-hex. | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 36 |  |  |  | C | 89 | 140.5-141.5 | EtOAc | $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ |
| 37 |  |  |  | C | 72 | 144.5-145.5 | EtOAc-hex. | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, N |
| 38 |  |  |  | C | 80 | amorphous solid ${ }^{a}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | C, H, N |
| 39 |  |  |  | C | 91 | amorphous solid ${ }^{a}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}^{\text {c }}$ |
| 40 |  |  |  | C | 87 | glass ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | C, H, N |
| 41 |  |  |  | C | 86 | amorphous solid ${ }^{a}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | C, H, N |
| 42 |  |  |  | C | 87 | amorphous |  | $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}^{\text {d }}$ |
| 43 |  |  |  | C | 86 | amorphous solid ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | C, H, N |
| 44 |  |  |  | C | 88 | amorphous solid ${ }^{a}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | C, H, N |
| 46 |  |  |  | C | 83 | amorphous solid ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | C, H, N |
| 47 |  |  |  | C | 63 | amorphous solid ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{\text {e }}$ | C, H, N |
| 48 |  |  |  | C | 80 | amorphous solid ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | C, H, N |
| 49 |  |  |  | C | 90 | 146-147 | EtOAc-hex. | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | C, H, N |
| 50 |  |  |  | B | 61 | 210-212 | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{EtOAc}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | C, H, N |
| 51 |  |  |  | B | 60 | 185-186 | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$-EtOAc | $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | C, H, N |
| 52 | H |  |  | C | 90 | 186.5-187.5 | EtOAc | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 53 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |  |  | C | 91 | 107.5-108.5 | EtOAc-hex. | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 54 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |  |  | C | 71 | 107-109 | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 55 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ |  |  | C | 73 | amorphous solid ${ }^{a}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | H, ${ }^{\prime}$ |
| 56 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ |  |  | C | 76 | oil ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | C, H, N |
| 57 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}$ |  |  | C | 68 | 89-91 | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | C, H, N |

Table V (Continued)
(
${ }^{a}$ Compound purified by HPLC and then lyophilized from benzene. ${ }^{b} 0.4 \mathrm{M}$ hydrate. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{C}$ : calcd, 76.57 ; found, 76.08 . ${ }^{d} \mathrm{C}$ : calcd, 77.15 ; found, $76.71 .{ }^{e} 0.4 \mathrm{M}$ hydrate. ${ }^{i} \mathrm{C}$ : calcd, 75.49 ; found, $75.95 .{ }^{8} \mathrm{C}$ : calcd, 78.07; found, 77.66.

Table VI. Data for $\alpha, \beta$-Unsaturated Aldehydes ${ }^{a}$

| no. | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\%$ yield $^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{mp},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | solvent | formula | anal. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 96 | 45-46.5 | hex. | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H |
| 73a | $3-\mathrm{FC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $3-\mathrm{FC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 78 | 52-54 | hex. | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, F |
| 73b | $4-\mathrm{FC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 4- $\mathrm{FC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 79 | 58-59.5 | pent | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | C, H, F |
| 73c | $3-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $3-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $87^{\text {c }}$ | 75-77 | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hex. | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| 73d | $4-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $4-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $87^{\text {c }}$ | oil |  | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |
| 73e | $3-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $3-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 70 | 134-136 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-hex. | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | C, H, N |
| 73f | 2 - $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $2-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 97 | 59.5-61.5 | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hex. | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | C, H |
| 73g | $3-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $3-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $96^{\text {c }}$ | ${ }^{\text {oil }}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{H}^{\text {e }}$ |
| 73h | $4 . \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 85 | 56-57 | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hex. | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | C, H |
| 73 i | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 39 | oil |  | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | C, H |
| 73j | 4. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 32 | oil |  | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | C, H |
| 73k | $3,4-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 3,4-( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 75 | 129-130 | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | C, H |
| 731 | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 77 | 93-94 | hex. | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | C, H |
| 73m | $3-\mathrm{FC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $3-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $f$ |  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{FO}_{2}$ |  |
| 73n | $\underset{\mathrm{H}}{3-\mathrm{CH}_{a} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}}$ | ${ }_{4}^{3-\mathrm{FC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}}$ | $7{ }^{\prime}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{FO}_{2}$ |  |
| 730 | $\stackrel{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{CH}}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 77 | 58.5-60 | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hex. | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | C, H |
| 73 p 73q | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 4- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 49 37 | 42.5-45 oil | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hex. | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | C, H |
| 73r | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 30 | oil |  | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $h$ |
| 73s | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | f |  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $h$ |
| 73 t | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 46 | oil |  | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{H}^{i}$ |
| 73u | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 40 | oil |  | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | C, H |
| 73 v | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ | 36 | oil |  | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | C, H |
| 73w | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{5}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $f$ | oil |  | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |  |
| 73x | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{7}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $f$ | oil |  | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |  |
| 73 y | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{1}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 23 | oil |  | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | ${ }^{j}$ |
| 73 z 73 a | ${ }_{4}^{4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{CH}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ | 30 65 | oil oil |  | ${ }_{\text {cta }}^{\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2}} \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}$ | ${ }_{k}$ |

${ }^{a}$ The aldehydes listed, with the exception of $73 f$, were obtained by method E. Compound 73 f was prepared via the corresponding nitrile as outlined in Scheme II. Both methods were used to prepare 73 u (see the Experimental Section). ${ }^{b}$ Unless indicated otherwise, refers to isolated yield after crystallization of the product or after HPLC separation of the geometric isomers if applicable. ${ }^{c}$ Crude yield. ${ }^{d}$ Attempted crystallization of the oily aldehyde 73 d with MeOH resulted in formation of the corresponding dimethyl acetal, mp $89-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{17}-$ $\mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} .{ }^{e} \mathrm{C}$ : calcd, 76.10 ; found, 75.64 . ${ }^{f}$ Mixture of isomeric aldehydes not separated prior to conversion to the dienoic acids. ${ }^{8} \mathrm{MS} m / z 190\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) .{ }^{h} \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / z 204\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) .{ }^{i} \mathrm{C}$ : calcd, 77.03 ; found, $76.58 .{ }^{j} \mathrm{MS} m / z 244\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) .{ }^{k} \mathrm{MS} m / z 196\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.
by determining oral $\mathrm{ID}_{50}$ values and the percent inhibition 6 h after a $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ oral dose.

Of the compounds in Table I, compounds 5 and 12 met our threshold activity criteria both in the binding assay
and after intravenous administration. Furthermore, both showed a modest but significant inhibition of PAF-induced bronchoconstriction after oral administration which was sufficient to encourage us to pursue each series. In this

Table VII. Data for Pentadienoic Acids

 isomeric mixture of aldehydes $(E / Z \sim 1: 1)$. ${ }^{8}$ Starting from an isomeric mixture of aldehydes ( $E / Z \sim 2: 3$ ). ${ }^{h}$ Starting from an isomeric mixture of aldehydes ( $E / Z \sim 11: 9$ ). 'Starting from an isomeric mixture of aldehydes ( $E / Z \sim 3$ :2).
paper, we describe a series of pentadienamide analogues based on the lead provided by 12.

Compounds 13-24, direct analogues of 12 bearing various substituents on the aromatic rings are reported in Table II. From this limited data set, it is apparent that potency in the PAF binding assay and iv PAF inhibitory activity are relatively independent of substitution in the 3 - and 4 -positions. This result is consistent with our findings in the related pyridoquinazoline (1) and biphenyl (2) series of PAF antagonists. A number of these compounds also demonstrated oral activity with the variations being primarily due to differences in absorption and metabolism. The bis-4-methoxy analogue 20 is of particular interest as it is not only one of the most potent members of this series but also retained a high level of inhibition 6 h after oral dosing.

In our earlier work with compounds 1 and 2, we had found that the introduction of an alkyl substituent on the carbon atom $\alpha$ to the carboxamide nitrogen results in a stereoselective enhancement of oral potency, presumably due to selective resistance to enzymatic degradation. ${ }^{1,2}$ In order to test the generality of this concept, compounds 25-43 (Table II) were prepared. Comparison, particularly of the in vivo data for several pairs of enantiomeric $\alpha$ methyl analogues ( 25 and 26, 27 and 28,29 and 30,31 and 32) provides convincing evidence that compounds of the $R$ configuration have superior activity as PAF antagonists with the corresponding $S$ enantiomers having significant but considerably diminished potency. The analogue 33 with two $\alpha$ methyl groups is less potent orally than either of the corresponding monomethyl enantiomers 31 or 32 . In order to probe the steric limitations of the $\alpha$-alkyl
substituent, several examples (38-44) in the bis-4-methoxyphenyl series were prepared which incorporated homologous alkyl substituents. From the data it is apparent that ethyl in the $R$, but not the $S$, configuration and cyclopropyl are tolerated very well but that activity falls off rapidly as alkyl substituents of greater size are introduced. Thus a decrease in activity is seen as the size of the alkyl group is increased from cyclopropyl to isopropyl and the $n$-propyl and $n$-butyl derivatives have only minimal activity.

Compounds 46 and 47 (Table III) were prepared in order to test a proposal that the pyridinyl carbon-nitrogen double bond of this class of PAF antagonists mimics the acetoxy carbonyl group of PAF at its receptor. According to our speculation, positions 1 and 2 of the pyridine would correspond to the acetoxy carbonyl and the carbon atom in the pyridine 3-position, which is bound to the side chain, would correspond to the glycerol-bound acetoxy oxygen atom of PAF. Given the tight steric requirements of the PAF acetyl group, we anticipated that the 2-methylpyridinyl analogue 46 would be more active than the 6 methylpyridinyl analogue 47 and this proved to be the case, although neither compound was as active as the corresponding unsubstituted derivative 32.

The other compounds in Table III were prepared to further characterize the limitations on the pyridinealkanamine side chain. The poor activity of the ethers 48 and 49 are consistent with our previous conclusion that an all-carbon side chain is optimal. ${ }^{1}$ The relatively high potency of the 3 -pyridinyl anilide 50 was a surprise. This finding combined with the observation that the homologue 51 is virtually inactive in vivo is useful in defining the

Table VIII. Data for Pentadienoic Acid 4-Nitrophenyl Esters


| no. | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | \% yield | mp, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | solvent | formula | anal. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 77a | $3-\mathrm{FC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $3-\mathrm{FC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 92 | 129.5-130.5 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-hex. | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ | C, H, F, N |
| 77b | $4-\mathrm{FC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 4- $\mathrm{FC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 78 | 112.5-114 | $i$-PrOH | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ | C, H, F, N |
| 77e | $3-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $3-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 87 | 99-100 | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}-i$ - PrOH | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{N}$ |
| 77d | 4 - $\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $4-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 89 | 121-122 | $i$ - PrOH | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ | C, H, Cl, N |
| 77e | $3-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $3-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 92 | 161.5-162.5 | $i$-PrOH-hex. | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ | C, H, N |
| 77 f | $2-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $2-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 88 | 141.5-143 | $i$ - PrOH | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{8}$ | C, H, N |
| 77 g | $3-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $3-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 89 | 99-100 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-hex. | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ | C, H, N |
| 77h | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 85 | 125-126 | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ | C, H, N |
| 77 i | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 56 | 113-115 | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hex. | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ | C, H, N |
| 77j | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 82 | oil ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ | C, H, N |
| 77 k | $3,4-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | $3,4-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 64 | 140-142 | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{8}$ | C, H, N |
| 771 | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 86 | 153.5-154.5 | $i$ - PrOH | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ | C, H, N |
| 77 m | $3-\mathrm{FC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $3-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 98 | 134-135 | $i$ - PrOH | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NFO}_{5}$ | C, H, N, F |
| 77 n | $3-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $3-\mathrm{FC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 95 | 146.5-147.5 | $i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NFO}_{5}$ | C, H, N, F |
| 770 | H | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 78 | 200.5-202 | THF- $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ | C, H, N |
| 77 p | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 67 | 176-177 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ | C, H, N |
| 77q | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 4 - $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 73 | 112.5-113.5 | $i$-ProH | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ | C, H, N |
| 77 r | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 68 | 90-92 | $i$ - PrOH | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ | C, H, N |
| 77s | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 80 | 95-96.5 | $i$-PrOH-hex. | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ | C, H, N |
| 77 t | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 78 | 78-80 | $i$ - PrOH | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ | C, H, N |
| 77u | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ | 4 - $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 76 | 75-76 | $i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ | C, H, N |
| 77 w | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{5}$ | 4- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 85 | oil ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ | C, H, N |
| 77x | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{7}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 89 | oil ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ | C, H, N |
| 77 y | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 77 | 136-137 | $i$ - PrOH | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ | C, H, N |
| 77aa | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ | 80 | oil ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ | C, $\mathrm{H}^{\text {b }}$ |
| 78 v | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ | 89 | oil ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ | C, H, N |
| 78 z | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | 76 | 117-119 | $i$-PrOH-hex. | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ | C, H, N |

${ }^{a}$ Analytical sample purified by HPLC.
distance requirements between the amido moiety and the pyridine nitrogen atom. However, it also suggests that binding-site models which attempt to relate the receptor binding of the $N$-[4-(3-pyridinyl)butyl] carboxamides to that of PAF itself, with the carboxamide mimicking the PAF ether oxygen atom and the pyridine ring, mimicking the acetyl moiety, are invalid due to impossible distance constraints.

At this point, 31 was selected for profiling in additional models of PAF-induced symptomatology; however, concerns about the possible toxicological implications of its extended electron-rich $\pi$-system prompted us to consider analogues in which one or both of the anisole rings were replaced with an alkyl moiety. As the data in Table IV indicate, when the anisole ring which is syn to the amide is replaced with an alkyl group, iv activity in the bronchoconstriction assay increases to a maximum as the alkyl chain length is increased from zero to five carbon atoms and remains relatively constant as the chain is further extended to eight carbon atoms. Oral activity, particularly when measured at the 6 - h time point after dosing, is more sensitive to alkyl chain length in this series; maximal activity is seen with the $n$-butyl and $n$-pentyl derivatives 57 and 58 , respectively. This is presumably due to differences in metabolism and absorption among the different homologues. Two compounds ( 63 and 64) were prepared in which the anti aromatic ring was replaced by an alkyl group; both were substantially less potent in the guinea pig bronchoconstriction assay than their geometric isomers 58 and 62, respectively.
The triazolothienodiazepine 45 is among the most potent PAF antagonists yet described in the literature. ${ }^{15}$ Data

[^6]

Figure 1. Time-course for oral 20, 31, and 32 inhibition of PAF-induced bronchoconstriction in guinea pigs. The compounds were administered orally at a dose of $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. Each point represents the mean $\pm$ SEM for determinations made on six animals.
from the PAF-induced bronchoconstriction test for 45 are shown in Table II and indicate that the more active compounds in the pentadienamide series are only $2-5$-fold less potent intravenously and 4-10-fold less potent orally.
The two most interesting compounds to emerge from this work, 31 and 58, were selected for further characterization. Duration of action studies for 31 were carried out for longer periods in comparison with the corresponding des- $\alpha$-methyl and $S$ - $\alpha$-methyl analogues as shown in Figure 1 and for 58 in comparison with its $S$ enantiomer 116 as



Figure 2. Time-course for oral 58 and 116 inhibition of PAFinduced bronchoconstriction in guinea pigs. The compounds were administered orally at a dose of $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. Each point represents the mean $\pm$ SEM for determinations made on six animals.

Table IX. Inhibitory Effect of 31, $\mathbf{5 8}$ and $\mathbf{4 5}$ on PAF-Induced Capillary-Permeability Changes in Rats and Guinea Pigs

|  | rat skin test |  |  | guinea pig skin test |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| compd | $\mathrm{ID}_{50}$, iv | $\mathrm{ID}_{50}$, po |  | $\mathrm{ID}_{50}$, iv | $\mathrm{ID}_{50}$, po |
| $\mathbf{3 1}$ | 2.0 | 25 |  | 15 | 75 |
| 58 | 1.7 | 70 |  | 3.0 | 65 |
| 45 | 0.64 | 45 |  | 2.5 | 35 |

shown in Figure 2. These results confirm the importance of an appropriately configured side-chain alkyl substituent in promoting a long duration of action in this class of PAF antagonists.

A second model used to profile the lead PAF antagonists determined the ability of the drugs to block capillarypermeability changes induced in rat and guinea pig skin by intradermally injected PAF. These skin models are of particular interest because, while PAF-induced bronchoconstriction in the guinea pig occurs secondary to platelet activation, ${ }^{16}$ the PAF-mediated increase in vascular permeability in these species is independent of the platelet response. ${ }^{17}$ In this assay, animals were treated intravenously or orally with the test substances and challenged with an intradermal injection of PAF either immediately or after a 2 -h interval, respectively. The PAF-induced increase in capillary permeability causes an extravasation of serum protein, resulting in the formation of a skin wheal which is visualized on the reflected skin surface with the aid of intravenously administered Evan's blue dye given following the PAF challenge. Inhibition was determined by comparison of the mean area of the skin wheals from the drug-treated animals with that from the corresponding control animals. $\mathrm{ID}_{50}$ values calculated from linear-regression analysis of dose-response curves and are summarized in Table IX. Both 31 and 58 as well as the reference PAF antagonist $\mathbf{4 5}^{15}$ are effective in this model and all three are similar in potency.

In conclusion, we have described a new series of orally effective, long-acting PAF antagonists which are members of the class characterized by a $N$-[4-(3-pyridinyl)butyl] carboxamide attached to an unsaturated, lipophilic moiety. These results, combined with our. previous findings, ${ }^{1,2}$ suggest that the binding site for these agents comprises a large lipophilic region, possibly at the receptor proteinmembrane interface, which is tolerant of steric bulk but provides recognition for the aromatic ring marked "a" in

[^7]structures 1-4. More specific recognition comes from a polar interaction with the carboxamide moiety and either a $\pi$-interaction with the pyridine ring or an association of receptor elements with the pyridine nitrogen lone pair. The lead structure 58 was selected for in-depth pharmacological evaluation, the details of which will be reported elsewhere.

## Experimental Section

Melting points were determined on a Thomas-Hoover capillary melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. The proton NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian XL-100, XL-200, or XL-400 spectrometer, and shifts are reported in ppm downfield from TMS (internal standard). The IR spectra were obtained on a Beckman IR-9 or IR-12 spectrometer and are consistent with the proposed structures. Mass spectra were taken on a CEC 21-110 mass spectrometer at 70 eV . Optical rotations were determined on a Perkin-Elmer 141 polarimeter. Preparative high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) was performed with silica gel Prep-Pak 500 cartridges on a Waters Associates Prep LC 500A instrument. Column chromatography was accomplished on Kieselgel 60, 35-70 mesh, from E. Merck, Darmstadt. Kieselgel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ plates from E. Merck were used for TLC, and compounds were visualized with UV light or iodine vapor. Bulb-to-bulb distillation was performed on a Büchi Kugelrohr apparatus and was carried out at the reported air-bath temperatures until distillation ceased. Dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was distilled from $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} ;(i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ were distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$, and DMF and THF were dried over Linde 3 A sieves.

6,6-Diphenyl-5-hexenoic Acid (66). In an inert atmosphere, $\mathrm{NaH}(56 \%$ dispersion in oil, $8.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{~mol})$ was triturated with pentane and then was dispersed in dry DMSO $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , then it was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and (carboxybutyl)triphenylphosphonium bromide ( $36.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0823$ mol ) was added in several portions over 5 min . After the resulting deep-red solution was stirred at $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min , a solution of benzophenone ( $18 \mathrm{~g}, 0.099 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dry THF ( 100 mL ) was added at such a rate that the reaction temperature did not exceed 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4.5 h , then it was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (3 $\times 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were discarded, and the aqueous layer was acidified with $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extracts were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3 $\times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and then were combined, dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and evaporated. The residue was crystallized from hexane to give 16.5 g ( $75.3 \%$ ) of 66, mp $111-112.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{18} \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{113-113.5}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

7,7-Diphenyl-6-heptenoic acid (67) was prepared as above. Benzophenone ( $18 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0988 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) when reacted with the phosphorane derived from (carboxypentyl)triphenylphosphonium bromide ${ }^{19}(37.64 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0823 \mathrm{~mol})$ furnished $16.3 \mathrm{~g}(70.3 \%)$ of 67 , $\mathrm{mp} 71.5-72.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Recrystallization from hexane gave the analytical sample, mp $72.5-73.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. $.^{20} \mathrm{mp} 70.5-71.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

8,8-Diphenyl-7-octenoic acid (68) was prepared as above. Benzophenone ( $17.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.096 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was treated with the phosphorane derived from (carboxyhexyl)triphenylphosphonium bromide ( $37.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.08 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and crystallization of the crude from hexane afforded $16.6 \mathrm{~g}(70.6 \%)$ of $68, \mathrm{mp} 88-89^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

Method A. 3,3-Diphenyl- $N$-[4-(3-pyridinyl)butyl]-2propenamide (5). To a stirred solution of 3,3 -diphenyl-2propenoic acid ${ }^{4}(6.23 \mathrm{~g}, 27.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(4.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 30.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 40 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of ethyl chloroformate ( $2.74 \mathrm{~mL}, 27.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 20 mL ) dropwise such that the reaction temperature was maintained at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the addition was completed, the mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min before 3 -pyridinebutanamine ${ }^{10}(4.38 \mathrm{~g}, 29.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. The reaction was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
(18) Canquil, C.; Rouzaud, J.; Lyle, R.; Fielding, H.; Lyle, G. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1955, 513.
(19) Kato, K.; Ohkawa, S.; Terao, S.; Terashita, Z.; Nishikawa, K. J. Med. Chem. 1985, 28, 287.
(20) Lyle, R.; Fielding, H.; Canquil, C.; Rouzaud, J. J. Org. Chem. 1955, 22, 623.
for 1 h and then at room temperature for 2 h before the precipitated $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ was removed by filtration. The evaporated filtrate was partitioned between $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 80 mL ) and, after the ethereal extract was discarded, the aqueous phase was basified with $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. Evaporation of the dried $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ organic extracts and purification of the residual material by HPLC ( EtOAc ) yielded $7.42 \mathrm{~g}(74.9 \%$ ) of 5 . A portion was crystallized from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give the analytical sample, mp $78-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Method B. 5,5-Diphenyl- $\boldsymbol{N}$-[4-(3-pyridinyl)butyl]-4-pentenamide (7). A solution of 5,5-diphenyl-4-pentenoic acid ${ }^{6}$ (30.3 $\mathrm{g}, 0.12 \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.414 \mathrm{~mol})$ was stirred at room temperature for 15 min , then $\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. A solution of the residual acid chloride in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(125 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added over 15 min to a stirred solution of 3-pyridinebutanamine ${ }^{10}(18 \mathrm{~g}, 0.12$ $\mathrm{mol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(125 \mathrm{~mL})$ maintained at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to equilibrate to room temperature over 1 h and then excess 1 N NaOH solution was added, and the phases were separated. The organic phase was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated, and the residue was purified by HPLC (Et$\left.\mathrm{OAc}_{\mathrm{At}}^{3} \mathrm{~N}, 49: 1\right)$ to give $45.1 \mathrm{~g}(97.7 \%)$ of 7 as a pale yellow oil. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Method C. $\quad[\boldsymbol{R}-(\boldsymbol{E}, \boldsymbol{E})]-5-(4-$ Methoxyphenyl $)-\boldsymbol{N}$-[1-methyl-4-(3-pyridinyl)butyl]-2,4-decadienamide (58). In an inert atmosphere, a solution of $77 \mathrm{u}(79.09 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $(R)$ -$\alpha$-methyl-3-pyridinebutanamine ${ }^{2}(35.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in THF (300 mL ) was stirred at room temperature overnight and then was heated at reflux for 3 h to consume the last traces of starting materials. After the solvent was removed in vacuo, the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(700 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution was washed with $0.5 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(3 \times 500 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layers were back-washed in turn with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then the combined organic phases were dried $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ and evaporated. The residual oil was dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(600 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the product was allowed to crystallize from solution. The colorless solid was recovered by filtration, washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried to afford $78.0 \mathrm{~g}(92.7 \%)$ of $58, \operatorname{mp~} 88-89^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-28.48^{\circ}$ (c $\left.1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$. Recrystallization of the amide from EtOAc-hexane provided the analytical sample, $\operatorname{mp} 88-89^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-28.65^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, MeOH). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ ) C, H, N.

Method D. 3,3-Diphenyl-2-propenal ${ }^{7}$ (69). In an argon atmosphere, $1.6 \mathrm{M} n$ - BuLi in hexane ( $862 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.38 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of $(i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{NH}(193.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.38 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry THF ( 1 L ) cooled in an $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$-dry ice bath. The mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min before the dropwise addition of acetaldehyde $N$-tert-butylimine ${ }^{21}(88.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.60 \mathrm{~mol})$ over 10 min . The reaction mixture was maintained at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 30 min , and then diethyl chlorophosphonate ( $101.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.6 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added at such a rate that the temperature did not exceed $-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 h , the cooling bath was removed, and the mixture was allowed to warm to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 45 min and then benzophenone ( 109.3 $\mathrm{g}, 0.6 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added in one portion via a Gooch tube. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight, and then the solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was taken up in a solution of oxalic acid dihydrate ( 175 g) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.5 \mathrm{~L})$ and $\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}$ was added (1.5 L). After the mixture was stirred vigorously overnight at room temperature, the layers were separated, and the organic phase was washed sequentially with $5 \%$ oxalic acid solution ( 750 mL ), brine ( 750 mL ), and saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(750 \mathrm{~mL})$. The dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ organic extract was concentrated to $\sim 250 \mathrm{~mL}$ under reduced pressure and then placed on a short column of silica gel ( 500 g ) and eluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to provide the crude aldehyde. Crystallization from hexane gave $109.3 \mathrm{~g}(87.5 \%)$ of $69, \mathrm{mp} 45-46.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Concentration of the mother liquor gave a second crop of impure aldehyde, which after two recrystallizations from hexane afforded an additional 10.1 $\mathrm{g}(8.1 \%)$ of $69, \mathrm{mp} 44.5-46^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table VI).

Method E. (E)-5,5-Diphenyl-2,4-pentadienoic Acid (70) and (Z)-5,5-Diphenyl-2,4-pentadienoic Acid (71). A solution of 69 ( $18.73 \mathrm{~g}, 0.09 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and (carboxymethylene) triphenylphosphorane ( $33.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.095 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in EtOH ( 500 mL ) was stirred
for 1 h at room temperature. After the solvent was removed in vacuo, the residue was dispersed in a mixture of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(125 \mathrm{~mL})$ and hexane ( 250 mL ) and stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min to ensure complete digestion of the solids. The cooled mixture was filtered and the filter cake was washed with hexane- $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 1,2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. Evaporation of the filtrate gave an oil that was passed through a short column of silica gel ( 200 g ) and then purified by HPLC $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$-hexane, $\left.1: 1\right)$ to yield $4.2 \mathrm{~g}(16.8 \%)$ of the less polar ( $Z$ )-5,5-diphenyl-2,4-pentadienoic acid ethyl ester that crystallized on standing ( $\mathrm{mp} 36-37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), as well as $20.2 \mathrm{~g}(80.7 \%)$ of $(E)$ -5,5-diphenyl-2,4-pentadienoic acid ethyl ester as an oil. A portion of the $E$ isomer was crystallized from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give the dienoic acid ester as a colorless solid, $\mathrm{mp} 34-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
A mixture of the ( $Z$ )-dienoic acid ester $(3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 12.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{KOH} \mathrm{( } 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was stirred at reflux for 30 min . Most of the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the concentrate was poured over a stirred mixture of ice and $3 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting solid was filtered off, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried, and then crystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-$ hexane to provide $3.05 \mathrm{~g}(96.9 \%$ ) of the $(Z)$-dienoic acid $71, \mathrm{mp}$ $175-176.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

The ( $E$ )-dienoic acid ester ( $15 \mathrm{~g}, 53.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was saponified under the same conditions and crystallization of the crude acid from $i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ furnished $11.7 \mathrm{~g}(86.7 \%)$ of the $(E)$-dienoic acid 70 , mp 193-194 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{22} \mathrm{mp} 192-193^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

Method F. (E,E)-5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2,4-decadienoic Acid 4-Nitrophenyl Ester (77u). To a mixture of 75u (174.2 $\mathrm{g}, 0.635 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and 4-nitrophenol ( $106 \mathrm{~g}, 0.762 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(800$ mL ) cooled to $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of DCC ( $137.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.667$ $\mathrm{mol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ in one portion. The reaction was stirred at $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 min and then at room temperature overnight before the precipitated solid was filtered off and washed with a mixture ( $1: 1$ ) of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and hexane $(2 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Evaporation of the combined filtrates gave a yellow oil that was applied to a short column of silica gel ( 1 kg ) and eluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-hexane (3:2) to yield $\sim 240 \mathrm{~g}$ of the crude ester 77 u as a yellow solid. Crystallization of the product from $i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ furnished 219.2 g ( $87.3 \%$ ) of $77 \mathbf{u}, \mathrm{mp} 75-76{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\beta$-Hydroxy- $\beta$-(2-methoxyphenyl)-2-methoxybenzenepropanenitrile (80) was prepared by the method described for the preparation of $\mathbf{8 2}$ below. Thus, $2,2^{\prime}$-dimethoxybenzophenone $(24.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol})$ yielded $26.4 \mathrm{~g}(93.2 \%)$ of $80, \mathrm{mp} 178-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A sample was crystallized from MeOH to provide the analytical specimen, $\mathrm{mp} 181-182^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3,3-Bis(2-methoxyphenyl)propenal (73f). $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ ( 4.5 mL , $0.062 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added to a suspension of $80(13.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0475 \mathrm{~mol})$ in pyridine $(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ maintained at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during the addition. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and then was diluted with ice $-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was washed in turn with $3 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(1 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL}$, $1 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine and then was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated. Crystallization of the residue from $i-\mathrm{PrOH}-$ hexane gave $9.0 \mathrm{~g}(71.5 \%)$ of 3,3 -bis(2-methoxyphenyl)-2-propenenitrile, mp $99-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and recrystallization of a sample from the same solvents provided the analytical sample, mp $100.5-101.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Reduction of 3,3-bis(2-methoxyphenyl)-2-propenenitrile was carried out as described below for the preparation of 73 u . From 7.3 g ( 27.5 mmol ) of nitrile, there was obtained $7.2 \mathrm{~g}(97.5 \%)$ of $73 f, \operatorname{mp} 59.5-61.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.
( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )-3-Hydroxy-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)octanenitrile (82). A solution of freshly distilled ( $i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{NH}(72.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.52 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry THF ( 250 mL ) was cooled to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was maintained at that temperature during the dropwise addition, over 20 min , of $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ in hexane $(2.5 \mathrm{M}, 207 \mathrm{~mL})$. After the mixture had been stirred at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min , it was cooled to $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and held at that temperature during the dropwise addition of dry MeCN ( 30 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.56 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) over 10 min , followed after 5 min , by the addition of a solution of $4^{\prime}$-methoxyhexanophenone ( $93.2 \mathrm{~g} ; 0.45 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dry THF ( 250 mL ) within 15 min . The cooling bath was removed, and the reaction was allowed to equilibrate to $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 15 min , and then a mixture of $\mathrm{HOAc}(60 \mathrm{~g}, 0.50 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added carefully in a dropwise manner. The temperature of
the reaction mixture rose fairly rapidly to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and after stirring for 5 min a mixture of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine ( $2: 1,300 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added in one portion. After separation of the phases, the organic layer was washed with brine ( 300 mL ), and the aqueous phases were extracted in turn with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 300 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then the combined organic extracts were dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), filtered, and evaporated to give 112.8 g of the crude carbinol. Crystallization of the product from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hexane furnished $107.8 \mathrm{~g}(96 \%)$ of $82, \mathrm{mp}$ $45-47{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(E)-3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-octenenitrile (83). A solution of $82(107.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.436 \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(540 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}(21.6$ mL ) was refluxed for 6.5 h . After standing overnight at room temperature, the solution was washed successively with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(250$ mL ), $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(500 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and brine ( 100 mL ). The aqueous washes were extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and evaporated to provide $99.2 \mathrm{~g}(99 \%)$ of a mixture of 83 and the corresponding $Z$ isomer. The $E / Z$ ratio was determined to be $>12: 1$ by NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) and the material was used in the subsequent step without further purification. A small sample from a previous experiment was purified by HPLC $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$-hexane, 1:1) and distilled to afford the analytical specimen, bp $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.05 \mathrm{~mm})$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}$ ) C, H, N.
( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-octenal (73u). To a solution of crude 83 ( $99.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.43 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}(960 \mathrm{~mL})$ cooled in a MeCN-dry ice bath, a solution of DiBAH in hexane ( $1.5 \mathrm{M}, 385$ mL ) was added dropwise over 30 min as the reaction temperature was maintained between -40 and $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 h at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction was allowed to warm slowly to $-12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 1 h before a solution of $5 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(1.4 \mathrm{~L})$ was added slowly over 45 min while maintaining the temperature at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. When the addition was completed, the mixture was warmed to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred rapidly for 2.5 h to effect hydrolysis of the intermediate imine. After the reaction was cooled to room temperature, the layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}(2$ $\times 250 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were washed in turn with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 250 mL ) and then were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered through a pad of Celite, and evaporated to give an essentially quantitative recovery ( 100.2 g ) of a mixture of 73 u and the corresponding $Z$ aldehyde 73 v . The $E / Z$ ratio was established as $>12: 1$ by NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) and the material was used in the subsequent step without further purification.
(E)-3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-octenal (73u) and (Z)-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-octenal (73v) were prepared as a mixture of isomers by method $D$ described above except that the reaction was allowed to proceed for 5 days. Thus, $4^{\prime}$-methoxyhexanophenone ( $20.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) provided 23.3 g of crude product containing 73 u and 73 v in an $E / Z$ ratio of $\sim 3: 2$. The mixture was separated by HPLC ( $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hexane, $1: 7$ ) to afford $8.1 \mathrm{~g}(36.1 \%)$ of the less-polar $Z$ isomer 73 v as an oil and $9.0 \mathrm{~g}(40.1 \%)$ of the $E$ isomer 73u.

A small portion of the $E$ isomer was purified by bulb-to-bulb distillation to give the analytical sample, bp $170-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.2 \mathrm{~mm})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

In the same way, bulb-to-bulb distillation of a sample of the $Z$ isomer 73 v provided the analytical sample, bp $160-175^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.2$ mm ). Anal. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\boldsymbol{E}, \boldsymbol{E}$ )-5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2,4-decadienoic Acid (75u). A mixture of crude 73 u containing $\sim 8 \%$ of the corresponding $Z$ aldehyde 73v ( $100.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.43 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and (carbomethoxymethylene)triphenylphosphorane ( $158 \mathrm{~g}, 0.47 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(500$ mL ) was stirred for three days at room temperature. After the reaction was worked up as in the previous example, the crude product was passed through a short column of silica gel ( 750 g ) and eluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to yield 116.3 g of the $(E, E)$-dienoic ester contaminated by minor amounts of the isomeric dienoic esters as a yellow oil.

The oil ( $116.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.40 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was stirred for 30 min in a refluxing solution of $\mathrm{MeOH}(660 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(550 \mathrm{~mL})$ containing NaOH ( $32 \mathrm{~g}, 0.80 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and after the MeOH was distilled off, ice ( 550 g) was added followed by concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(82 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.98 \mathrm{~mol})$. The mixture was extracted three times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \times 1.2 \mathrm{~L}$ and $2 \times 500 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined extracts were dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ) and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting solid residue was triturated with hexane $(450 \mathrm{~mL})$, and after the mixture was cooled to $\sim 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the yellow crystalline solid was filtered off, washed
with hexane ( $3 \times 70 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and dried to provide $93.9 \mathrm{~g}(79.6 \%)$ of isomerically pure ( $E, E$ )-dienoic acid $75 \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{mp} 125.5-126.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A portion was crystallized from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hexane to afford the analytical sample, mp $126.5-127.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.
( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S})$-( $\alpha$ )-Ethyl-3-pyridinebutanol (86). Under an inert atmosphere, $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(17.56 \mathrm{~g}, 0.025 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{CuI}(1.7 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.0089 mol ) were added to a stirred solution of ( $\pm$ )-5-hexyn-3-ol ( $259.3 \mathrm{~g}, 2.64 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 3-bromopyridine ( $395 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ $(418 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.0 \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.5 \mathrm{~L})$. After 1.5 h , the mildly exothermic reaction reached reflux temperature, and when the gentle reflux had subsided ( 30 min ), external heat was applied to maintain reflux for an additional 5 h . The cooled reaction was stirred overnight at ambient temperature, then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(500 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ice ( 500 g ) were added, followed by concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(275$ mL ) and the mixture was stirred for 10 min . After the phases were separated, the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (3 $\times 750 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and each organic layer was back-washed in turn with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(500 \mathrm{~mL})$ before being discarded. The combined aqueous layers were basified with 10 N NaOH and extracted with three portions of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~L}$ and $2 \times 750 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ and evaporated to constant weight under reduced pressure to afford 361.5 g of crude $(R, S)-\alpha-$ ethyl-4-(3-pyridinyl)-3-butynol as an oil.

A solution of the above alcohol ( $361.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.06 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was hydrogenated over $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(3 \mathrm{~L})$ at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. After the catalyst was filtered off, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residual oil was evaporatively distilled to provide $357 \mathrm{~g}(79.6 \%)$ of $\mathbf{8 6}, \mathrm{bp}$ $120-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.1 \mathrm{~mm})$. Anal. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}\right) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{C}:$ calcd, 73.70 ; found, 74.14.
6-(3-Pyridinyl)-3-hexanone (87). A stirred solution of oxalyl chloride ( $265 \mathrm{~g}, 2.088 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.75 \mathrm{~L})$ was cooled to -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon, then a mixture of DMSO ( $170 \mathrm{~g}, 2.18 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise over 75 min such that the reaction temperature did not exceed $-72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min and then $86(356.6 \mathrm{~g}, 1.99 \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise over 1 h while the reaction temperature was maintained below $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the addition of substrate was completed, the mixture was stirred at $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for another 30 min , then $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(630 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.5 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added slowly over 1 h while the reaction temperature was kept between -70 and $-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cooling bath was removed, and after the mixture was allowed to equilibrate to room temperature over $1 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 1.2 L ) was added, and the phases were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 1 \mathrm{~L})$, and then the organic phase and extracts were washed in turn with 1.5 N NaOH (1 L) and $10 \% \mathrm{NaCl}(1 \mathrm{~L})$. The combined $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ and concentrated, and the crude product was distilled to yield $327.9 \mathrm{~g}(92.9 \%)$ of $87, \mathrm{bp} 110-115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.1 \mathrm{~mm})$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}$ ) C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )- $\alpha$-Ethyl-3-pyridinebutanamine (88). A mixture of $87(327.9 \mathrm{~g}, 1.85 \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(116.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.854 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}$ ( $1426 \mathrm{~g}, 18.5 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{MeOH}(6.5 \mathrm{~L})$ was stirred at room temperature for 72 h , then $\sim 4 \mathrm{~L}$ of solvent was distilled off under reduced pressure (internal temperature $<30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The reaction was cooled in an ice bath as $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(4.5 \mathrm{~L})$ was added slowly over 2 h , and stirring was continued overnight. In an argon atmosphere, the reaction was made strongly basic by the addition of $12.5 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}\left(2.5 \mathrm{~L}\right.$ ) and extracted three times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $1 \times 2 \mathrm{~L}$ and $2 \times 1 \mathrm{~L}$ ). The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ and evaporated, and the crude amine was distilled to afford 289.4 $\mathrm{g}(87.7 \%)$ of $88, \mathrm{bp} 95-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.1 \mathrm{~mm})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $R$ )- $\alpha$-Ethyl-3-pyridinebutanamine (89) and (S)- $\alpha$ -Ethyl-3-pyridinebutanamine (90). A solution of DCC (367.4 $\mathrm{g}, 1.78 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in DMF ( 500 mL ) was added to a stirred solution of the racemic amine $88(289 \mathrm{~g}, 1.67 \mathrm{~mol})$, ( $R$ )-mandelic acid ( 259 $\mathrm{g}, 1.7 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and 1-hydroxybenzotriazole hydrate ( $274 \mathrm{~g}, 1.79 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in DMF ( 1.7 L ) maintained at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during the addition. After it was stirred at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h and then at room temperature overnight, the mixture was rechilled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and the precipitate was filtered off and washed in turn with cold DMF $(3 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and EtOAc $(3 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solid, a mixture of dicyclohexylurea (DCU) and the less-soluble ( $R, R^{*}$ )- $\alpha$-hydroxy-$N$-[1-ethyl-4-(3-pyridinyl) butyl]benzeneacetamide, was dispersed in $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{~L})$ and stirred at room temperature for 4 h . The
undissolved solid (DCU) was removed by filtration and washed with dilute $\mathrm{HCl}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The filtrate was basified with 6 N NaOH and the resulting colorless, crystalline material was collected by filtration, washed well with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and dried in vacuo to provide 195.4 g ( $77.2 \%$ ) of ( $R, R^{*}$ )- $\alpha$-hydroxy- $N$-[1-ethyl-4-(3-pyridinyl)butyl]benzeneacetamide, mp $161.5-163^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-14.9^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, MeOH). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

The original DMF mother liquor and washings from above were evaporated, and the residue was partitioned between $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 2 L ) and $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(1.5 \mathrm{~L})$. The separated organic phase was washed with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(2 \times 500 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then each aqueous layer was washed in turn with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 800 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phases were extracted with three portions of $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(1 \times 1.5$ L and $2 \times 600 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and then were discarded. The combined acidic layers were basified with $10 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \times 2 \mathrm{~L}$ and $2 \times 600 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic extracts were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$, and evaporated, and the residual solid was triturated with hot hexane ( 1 L ). The solid was collected by filtration to give 265 g of mandelamide rich ( $\sim 7: 1$ ) in the more soluble ( $S^{*}, R$ )- $\alpha$-hydroxy- $N$-[1-ethyl-4-(3-pyridinyl)butyl]benzeneacetamide, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-37.3^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, MeOH). Fractional crystallization of the material from $i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ furnished $147 \mathrm{~g}(58.6 \%)$, $\mathrm{mp} 122-124^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-41.2^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, MeOH). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

A solution of ( $R, R^{*}$ )- $\alpha$-hydroxy- $N$-[1-ethyl-4-(3-pyridinyl)butyl]benzeneacetamide ( $195 \mathrm{~g}, 1.094 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in 6 N HCl ( 1.1 L ) containing concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(104 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at reflux for 48 h . After most of the solvent had been removed under reduced pressure, the residue was made strongly basic by the addition of 10 N NaOH in an argon atmosphere and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $1 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~L}$ and $2 \times 800 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ and evaporated and the crude product was distilled to give 109 g of $89, \mathrm{bp} 105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.2 \mathrm{~mm}),[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-11.9^{\circ}$ (c $1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(S)- $\alpha$-Ethyl-3-pyridinebutanamine (90) was prepared as described for 89. Thus, hydrolysis of ( $R^{*}, S$ )- $\alpha$-hydroxy- $N$-[1-ethyl-4-(3-pyridinyl)butyl] benzeneacetanide ( $31.2 \mathrm{~g}, 76.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and distillation furnished $16.4 \mathrm{~g}(92 \%)$ of $90, \mathrm{bp} 95-98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.1$ $\mathrm{mm}),[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+11.75^{\circ}(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\pm$ )- $\alpha$-Propyl-3-pyridinepentanoic Acid (93a). In an inert atmosphere, 1.6 M n - BuLi in hexane ( $26.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of $(i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{NH}(5.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 42 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry THF ( 20 mL ) cooled in an $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}-$ dry ice bath. The mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , and then a solution of pentanoic acid ( $2.04 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 10 mL ) was added over 3 min . The reaction was allowed to equilibrate to ambient temperature and then was heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h to complete formation of the dianion. The mixture was recooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of 3-(3-bromopropyl)pyridine ${ }^{10}(92,4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$, freshly liberated from its HBr salt) in THF ( 20 mL ) was added. The cooling bath was removed and the reaction was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 h , and then, after the solvents were removed in vacuo, the residue was dissolved in $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the solution was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic extracts were back-washed with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then the combined acidic layers were basified with $10 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(17 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ to remove the residual 92 . The aqueous phase was acidified with the addition of HOAc ( 3 mL ) and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extracts were washed with brine and then were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated to give 3.5 g of crude 93 a . Crystallization of the product from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hexane afforded $2.7 \mathrm{~g}(61 \%)$ of $93 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{mp} 56-57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$ $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )- $\alpha$-(1-Methylethyl)-3-pyridinepentanoic acid (93b) was obtained as described above for 93a. From isovaleric acid ( $2.04 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) there was obtained, after crystallization of the crude from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hexane, $2.8 \mathrm{~g}\left(63.3 \%\right.$ ) of $93 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{mp} 52-55{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Recrystallization of a sample from the same solvents furnished the analytical specimen, mp $54-56{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, N.
( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )- $\alpha$-Cyclopropyl-3-pyridinepentanoic acid (93c) was obtained as described above for 93 a . Thus cyclopropaneacetic acid ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) yielded $4.6 \mathrm{~g}(83.9 \%)$ of 93 c as a colorless solid. Crystallization of a portion from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hexane provided the analytical sample, $\mathrm{mp} 82-84^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, N .
( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )- $\alpha$-Butyl-3-pyridinepentanoic acid (93d) was prepared as described above for 93 a . Thus, hexanoic acid ( $2.32 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) afforded $4.0 \mathrm{~g}(85 \%)$ of 93 d as an oil: MS $m / z 235\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.
( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )- $\alpha$-Cyclopentyl-3-pyridinepentanoic acid (93e) was obtained as described above for 93a. From cyclopentaneacetic acid ( $2.56 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) there was obtained $4 \mathrm{~g}(80.9 \%)$ ) of crude 93 e , which was crystallized from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hexane to provide 3.1 g of the title compound as a colorless solid, mp $95-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )- $\alpha$-Propyl-3-pyridinebutanamine (95). A solution of $93 \mathrm{a}(2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 11.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, diphenyl phosphorazidate ( $2.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.37$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $t-\mathrm{BuOH}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at reflux overnight. After the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layers were back-extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then the combined extracts were dried ( $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ) and evaporated, and the residual oil was purified by HPLC (EtOAc) to afford $2.75 \mathrm{~g}(83.3 \%)$ of the carbamate 94a as an oil.
A solution of the carbamate $94 \mathrm{a}(1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 6.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1 N HCl $(25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was heated on a steam bath for 75 min and then was cooled and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. In an atmosphere of argon, the acidic layer was treated with $10 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. Evaporation of the dried $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ extracts yielded $1.15 \mathrm{~g}(97 \%)$ of 95 as an oil. A portion was purified by bulb-to-bulb distillation to afford the analytical sample, bp $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.1 \mathrm{~mm})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ ) $-\alpha$-(1-Methylethyl)-3-pyridinebutanamine (96) was prepared as described above for 95 . Thus the acid 93 b ( $2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 10.4$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ gave $2.5 \mathrm{~g}(82.2 \%)$ of the carbamate 94 b , of which 1.7 g ( 5.8 mmol ) was hydrolyzed to give $1.1 \mathrm{~g}(98 \%)$ of the amine 96 , bp $110-115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.1 \mathrm{~mm})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{C}$ : calcd 74.95 ; found 74.45.
( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )- $\alpha$-Cyclopropyl-3-pyridinebutanamine (97) was prepared as described above for 95 . The acid 93 c ( $4.2 \mathrm{~g}, 19.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was converted to $4.8 \mathrm{~g}(86.3 \%)$ of the carbamate 94 c . Acid hydrolysis of $94 \mathrm{c}(4.4 \mathrm{~g}, 15.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ afforded $2.8 \mathrm{~g}(97 \%)$ of the crude amine 97. This material was used without further purification in subsequent reactions.
( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )- $\alpha$-Butyl-3-pyridinebutanamine (98) was prepared as described above for 95 . The acid $93 \mathrm{~d}(3.7 \mathrm{~g}, 15.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ provided $3.6 \mathrm{~g}(74.8 \%)$ of the carbamate 94 d , of which $2.2 \mathrm{~g}(7.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ was hydrolyzed to give $1.35 \mathrm{~g}(91 \%)$ of the amine $98, \mathrm{bp} 115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 0.1 mm ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )- $\alpha$-Cyclopentyl-3-pyridinebutanamine (99) was prepared as described above for 95 . The acid $93 \mathrm{e}(2.8 \mathrm{~g}, 11.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ was converted to $2.5 \mathrm{~g}(69.3 \%)$ of the purified carbamate 94 e . Hydrolysis of $94 \mathrm{e}(1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 5.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ gave, after bulb-to-bulb distillation of the product, $1.05 \mathrm{~g}(93.9 \%)$ of the amine $99, \mathrm{bp}$ $120-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.1 \mathrm{~mm})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \cdot 0.25 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
2-Methyl-3-pyridinyl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (101). A mixture of 3-hydroxy-2-methylpyridine ( 100 ) $(7.65 \mathrm{~g}, 0.07 \mathrm{~mol})$ and bis[(trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl]benzenimide ( $25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.07 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(175 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled in an ice bath as dry $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(10.25$ $\mathrm{mL}, 0.074 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added dropwise over 10 min . The reaction was stirred for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then was allowed to equilibrate to room temperature over 1 h . The mixture was washed in turn with 1 $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{NaOH}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, half-saturated $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then was dried $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ and evaporated to give 16.7 g of crude 101 as an oil. Distillation of the product afforded $14.34 \mathrm{~g}(84.9 \%)$ of 101, bp $73-76{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(3.75 \mathrm{~mm})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S}$.
( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )- $\alpha, 2$-Dimethyl-3-pyridinebutanol (102a). After argon was passed through a solution of $101(10 \mathrm{~g}, 41.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $( \pm)$-4-pentyn-2-ol ( $5.18 \mathrm{~g}, 61.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 125 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 35 min , $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(0.888 \mathrm{~g}, 1.23 \mathrm{mmol})\right.$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h and then at room temperature overnight. The mixture was cooled in an ice bath and was acidified by the dropwise addition of 6 N HCl $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was made basic with 10 N NaOH and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined EtOAc extracts were washed with brine, dried ( $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ), and evaporated, and the resulting brown oil was passed through a plug of silica gel (Et-OAc-hexane, $7: 3$ ) to provide 7.0 g of $(R, S)$ ) 5 -(2-methyl-3-pyridinyl)-4-pentyn-2-ol as an oil.

A solution of the above alcohol ( $7.0 \mathrm{~g}, 40.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in EtOH ( 70 mL ) was hydrogenated over $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(0.78 \mathrm{~g})$ at room temperature and atmospheric pressure until the uptake of hydrogen had stopped. After the catalyst was filtered off, the solution was evaporated and the residual oil was evaporatively distilled to provide $6.0 \mathrm{~g}(80.7 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 0 2 a}, \mathrm{bp} 110-115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.15 \mathrm{~mm})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO} \cdot 0.133 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

5-(2-Methyl-3-pyridinyl)-2-pentanone (103a) was prepared under the conditions described above for 87 . From 5.54 g ( 31 mmol ) of 102 a there was obtained $4.96 \mathrm{~g}(89.7 \%)$ of 102 a after bulb-to-bulb distillation, bp $100-105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.15 \mathrm{~mm})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO} \cdot 0.06 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

5-(6-Methyl-3-pyridinyl)-2-pentanone (103b) was prepared under conditions similar to those described for 87. Oxidation of $102 \mathbf{b}^{12}$ ( $10.25 \mathrm{~g}, 57.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) furnished $7.4 \mathrm{~g}(73 \%)$ of 103 b after purification of the crude product by HPLC (EtOAc-hexane, 1:1) followed by bulb-to-bulb distillation, bp $88-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.05 \mathrm{~mm})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )- $\alpha$, 2-Dimethyl-3-pyridinebutanamine (104a) was prepared under the conditions described for 88. The reductive amination of 103 a ( $15.14 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) provided, after distillation of the crude amine, $12.6 \mathrm{~g}(83.2 \%)$ of $104 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{bp} 85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.005 \mathrm{~mm})$.
( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{S}$ )- $\alpha, 6$-Dimethyl-3-pyridinebutanamine (104b) was prepared as described for 88. Reductive amination of 103b ( 7.27 $\mathrm{g}, 41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) yielded, after distillation of the crude product, 4.31 $\mathrm{g}(59 \%)$ of 104 b, bp $98-101^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.005 \mathrm{~mm})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$; C: calcd, 74.11; found, 73.54 .

3-(4-Methyl-4-pentenyl)pyridine (106). In an argon atmosphere, $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%$ dispersion in oil, $7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.175 \mathrm{~mol})$ was triturated with pentane and then was dispersed in dry DMSO $(75 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min and then was cooled and methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide ( $61 \mathrm{~g}, 0.17 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added, followed after 30 min by a solution of 5 -(3-pyridinyl)-2-pentanone ${ }^{1}$ $(105,25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.153 \mathrm{~mol})$ in DMSO ( 125 mL ). After the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature, $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(1$ L) was added and the precipitated triphenylphosphine oxide was removed by filtration. The filtrate was made basic by the addition of $10 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(110 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times$ 300 mL ). The extracts were washed with brine and then were combined, dried ( $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ), and evaporated to give 25 g of the crude olefin 106. The material was purified by HPLC (EtOAc-hexane, 1:1) to yield $17.5 \mathrm{~g}(70.9 \%)$ of 106 as a colorless oil. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}\right) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{C}$ : calcd, 81.94; found, 81.20 .
$\boldsymbol{N}$-[1,1-Dimethyl-4-(3-pyridinyl)butyl]-2-nitrobenzeneacetamide (110). A mixture of $106(22.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.14 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $2-$ nitrophenylacetonitrile ( $109,22.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.14 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $\mathrm{HOAc}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $12{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(16 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise over 6 min . The reaction was stirred for 2 h at room temperature, and then after the HOAc was removed in vacuo, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~L})$ was added and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ to remove the neutral impurities. The aqueous layer was basified with 10 N NaOH , extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 200$ $\mathrm{mL})$ and evaporation of the dried $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ extracts afforded 35.6 $\mathrm{g}(74.1 \%)$ of 110 . A portion was crystallized from EtOAc-hexane to provide the analytical sample, mp $117-118.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\alpha, \alpha$-Dimethyl-3-pyridinebutanamine (108). A solution of 110 ( $35.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.103 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $\mathrm{HOAc}(250 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was hydrogenated over $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(3.5 \mathrm{~g})$ at atmospheric pressure and ambient temperature. The reaction was exothermic and stopped abruptly after the uptake of the theoretical amount of $\mathrm{H}_{2}(7.5 \mathrm{~L})$. The catalyst was filtered off and the filtrate was heated at reflux for 90 min .

Concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the cooled reaction and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residual material was dispersed in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~L})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(4 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$ to remove the byproduct, oxindole. The aqueous layer was basified with 10 N NaOH and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(3 \times 300 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give, after evaporation of the dried $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ extracts, 15 g of the amine 108 as an oil. The material was purified by bulb-to-bulb distillation ( $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) to yield $14.3 \mathrm{~g}(77.8 \%)$ of 108. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
2-[3-(3-Pyridinyloxy) propyl]-1 $\boldsymbol{H}$-isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione (114). A mixture of 3-hydroxypyridine ( $112,5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 52.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $N$-(3-hydroxypropyl)phthalimide ( $113,10.5 \mathrm{~g}, 52.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(13.5 \mathrm{~g}, 51.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with diethyl azidocarboxylate ( $9 \mathrm{~mL}, 57.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature. After the solvent was removed in vacuo, the residue was taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and the solution was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \times)$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated. The residual material was purified by HPLC (EtOAc-hexane, 1:1) and crystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to afford $6.3 \mathrm{~g}(43.3 \%)$ of $114, \mathrm{mp}$ 112-115 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
3-(3-Pyridinyloxy)-1-propanamine (115). A $40 \%$ aqueous solution of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of 114 (1.0 $\mathrm{g}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in EtOH ( 20 mL ) and the reaction was stirred overnight at room temperature. The solvents were evaporated; the residue was partioned between saturated brine and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times)$, dried $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$, and evaporated to give $0.40 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%)$ of 115 as a yellow oil, which was used without further purification.
[S-(E,E)]-5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-N-[1-methyl-4-(3-pyridinyl)butyl]-2,4-decadienamide (116). Under the conditions of method C , the $p$-nitrophenyl ester $77 \mathrm{u}(7.9 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with $(S)$ - $\alpha$-methyl-3-pyridinebutanamine ${ }^{2}(3.35 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2$ mol ) in THF ( 75 mL ). After the usual workup, the product was crystallized from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hexane to furnish $7.19 \mathrm{~g}(86 \%)$ of $116, \mathrm{mp}$ $88-89^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+28.6^{\circ}(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH})$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, N .

In Vivo Assay for PAF-Induced Increase in Capillary Permeability. For the PAF challenge, groups of five SpragueDawley rats or Charles River guinea pigs were anesthetized with ether and pretreated with an antihistamine ( $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ip of pyrilamine maleate) and a serotonin antagonist ( $4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ip of methylsergide maleate). After $30 \mathrm{~min}, 0.05 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a saline solution containing 5 ng of PAF was injected intradermally at four sites per animal. Evan's blue dye ( $0.5 \%$ ) was then injected intravenously into the tail vein of the rat or the ear vein of the guinea pig in order to assist in visualization of the skin wheals formed at each site of PAF injection. Thirty minutes later, the animals were sacrificed by cervical dislocation, and the increase in capillary permeability induced by PAF was determined by measuring the average diameter of each wheal on the dorsal rat or guinea pig skin with a metric vernier caliper. $\mathrm{ID}_{50}$ values for active compounds were determined by linear regression of $\log$ dose-response curves generated by at least three doses that caused a reduction in area of the PAF-induced skin wheals of between 10 and $90 \%$. The correlation coefficient for the regression line of each antagonist was always greater than 0.95 .
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